

Review:

Def. ① A collection \mathcal{A} of subsets of Ω is said to be a π -system if

$$A, B \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

② A collection \mathcal{d} of subsets of Ω is said to be a λ -system if

- (i) $\Omega \in \mathcal{d}$; (ii) If $A, B \in \mathcal{d}$, $A \subset B$, then $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{d}$;
 (iii) If $A_n \in \mathcal{d}$ and $A_n \uparrow A$, then $A \in \mathcal{d}$.

Thm (Dynkin's π - λ Thm)

Suppose that \mathcal{P} is a π -system and \mathcal{d} is a λ -system such that

$$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{d}.$$

Then $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{d}$.

Thm 2.2. In order for X_1, \dots, X_n to be independent, it is sufficient that for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in (-\infty, \dots, +\infty]$,

$$P(X_1 \leq a_1, \dots, X_n \leq a_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq a_i). \quad (*)$$

Pf. Let \mathcal{A}_i be the collection of sets of the form $X_i^{-1}(-\infty, a_i]$, $i=1, \dots, n$

Then \mathcal{A}_i is a π -system for each i .

By (*), A_1, \dots, A_n are independent. So by Thm 2.1,

$\sigma(A_1), \dots, \sigma(A_n)$ are independent.

But $\sigma(A_i) = \sigma(X_i)$, so

X_1, \dots, X_n are independent. \square

Thm 2.3. Suppose $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m(i)$ are independent and let $G_i = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m(i)} \mathcal{F}_{i,j}\right)$. Then G_1, \dots, G_n are independent.

Pf. Let \mathcal{A}_i be the collection of sets of the form $\bigcap_{j=1}^{m(i)} A_{i,j}$ with $A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{F}_{i,j}$.

Then \mathcal{A}_i is a π -system for each i .

Notice that A_1, \dots, A_n are independent, so

G_1, \dots, G_n are independent.

Thm 2.4. If $X_{i,j}$, $i=1, \dots, n$, $1 \leq j \leq m(i)$ are independent and

$f_i: \mathbb{R}^{m(i)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable, then

$f_i(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,m(i)})$ are independent.

Pf. Let $\mathcal{F}_{i,j} = \sigma(X_{i,j})$ and $\mathcal{G}_i = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m(i)} \mathcal{F}_{i,j}\right)$.

Then $f_i(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,m(i)}) \in \mathcal{G}_i$ (**).

To see (**), it suffices to show that for each $A \in \beta(\mathbb{R}^{m(i)})$,

$$(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,m(i)})^{-1} A \in \mathcal{G}_i. \quad (***)$$

Write

$$\mathcal{T} := \left\{ A \in \beta(\mathbb{R}^{m(i)}) : (X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,m(i)})^{-1} A \in \mathcal{G}_i \right\}.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{T} is a sub σ -algebra of $\beta(\mathbb{R}^{m(i)})$ and

\mathcal{T} contains the collection of sets of the form

$$A_1 \times \dots \times A_{m(i)} \quad \text{with} \quad A_j \in \beta(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since this collection generates $\beta(\mathbb{R}^{m(i)})$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{T} = \beta(\mathbb{R}^{m(i)}),$$

which implies (***) \square .

Next we would like to investigate the distribution and expectation of independent r.v.'s.

Thm 2.5. Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are independent r.v.'s and X_i has distribution μ_i , then (X_1, \dots, X_n) has distributions $\mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_n$.

pf. Write

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) : P((X_1, \dots, X_n) \in A) = \mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_n(A) \}.$$

clearly \mathcal{G} is a λ -system. Notice that

$$\mathcal{G} \supseteq \underbrace{\{ A_1 \times \dots \times A_n : A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \}}_{\text{a } \Pi\text{-system}}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &\supseteq \sigma\left(\{ A_1 \times \dots \times A_n : A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Thm 2.6. Suppose X, Y are independent with distributions μ and ν .

Let $h: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable so that either $h \geq 0$ or

$\int |h| d\mu \times \nu < \infty$, then

$$E h(X, Y) = \int h d\mu \times \nu = \iint h(x, y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y).$$

PF. By Thm 2.5, (X, Y) has distribution $\mu \times \nu$. Then by the change variable formula,

$$\begin{aligned} E h(X, Y) &= \int h d(\mu \times \nu) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \iint h(x, y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Cor. 2.7. Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are independent such that either

(a) $X_i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ or

(b) $E|X_i| < \infty$.

$$\text{Then } E(X_1 \cdots X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n E X_i.$$

□

Next we consider sums of independent r.v.'s.

Thm 2.8: Let X, Y be independent, $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$, $G(y) = P(Y \leq y)$.

Then for each $z \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P(X+Y \leq z) = \int F(z-y) dG(y).$$

“The convolution of F and G ”

Pf. Set $h(x, y) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x+y \leq z\}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(X+Y \leq z) &= E h(X, Y) \\ &= \iint \mathbb{1}_{\{x+y \leq z\}} d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &= \iint \mathbb{1}_{\{x \leq z-y\}} d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \\ &= \int \mu(-\infty, z-y] d\nu(y) \\ &= \int F(z-y) d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: $\int f(y) dG(y)$ means $\int f(y) d\nu(y)$.

Application: Let X, Y be independent r.v.'s

Suppose X has density f and Y has density g .

Then

$X+Y$ has density

$$f * g(z) = \int f(z-y) g(y) dy.$$

Construction of independent r.v.'s:

Here we construct a sequence of independent r.v.'s X_1, X_2, \dots

Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, \dots$ be a sequence of Borel probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

Define

$$\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{ (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \dots) : \omega_i \in \mathbb{R} \}, \text{ where } \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$$

For $\omega = (\omega_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, define

$$X_i(\omega) = \omega_i.$$

Let $\beta(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$ be the σ -algebra generated by the finite dimensional

$$\text{sets } \{ \omega : \omega_i \in B_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \} \text{ with } B_i \in \beta(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thm (Kolmogorov's extension thm) Let μ_n be prob. measure on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \beta(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$\mu_{n+1} \left((a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times (a_n, b_n] \times \mathbb{R} \right) = \mu_n \left((a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times (a_n, b_n] \right)$$

Then \exists a unique prob. measure P on $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ such that

$$P \left((a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times (a_n, b_n] \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \right) = \mu_n \left((a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times (a_n, b_n] \right).$$

Set $\mu_n = \nu_1 \times \dots \times \nu_n$. Write

$$P = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n$$

Then $X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega), \dots$ are independent.

§ 2.2 Weak law of large numbers.

Def. We say X_n converges to X if for each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Def. A family of r.v.'s, $X_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$, are said to be **uncorrelated** if

$$E(X_i X_j) = E X_i E X_j \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Lemma: • Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are uncorrelated with $E(X_i^2) < \infty$.

Then $\text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \text{Var}(X_1) + \dots + \text{Var}(X_n)$,
where $\text{Var}(X) = E((X - \mu)^2) = E(X^2) - \mu^2$.

- $\text{Var}(cX) = c^2 \text{Var}(X)$.

Lemma. Let $p > 0$. Suppose $E|Z_n|^p \rightarrow 0$ then $Z_n \rightarrow 0$ in prob.

Pf. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then by the Chebyshev inequality

$$P\{|Z_n| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{E|Z_n|^p}{\varepsilon^p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Thm 2.9 (L^2 weak law of large numbers).

Let X_1, X_2, \dots , be uncorrelated r.v.'s with $E X_i = \mu$ and $\text{Var}(X_i) \leq C < \infty$.

Then $\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu$ in prob.

Pf. Set $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{E\left(\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right)^2}{\varepsilon^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \cdot \text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \left(\text{Var}(X_1) + \dots + \text{Var}(X_n)\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{n \varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

§ 2.3 Borel-Cantelli lemmas

Let $A_n \subset \Omega$, $n=1, 2, \dots$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup A_n &= \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n \\ &= \left\{ \omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ i.o.} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thm (Borel-Cantelli Lemma) Suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$.

Then $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$

pf. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(A_n) = 0$$

So $P\left(\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$

Hence $P(\limsup A_n) \leq P\left(\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.



As another application of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we have the following version of strong law of large numbers.

Thm 2.11. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $EX_i = \mu$ and $EX_i^4 < \infty$.

$$\text{Then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} = \mu \quad \text{a.s.}$$